UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/586,865	12/27/2006	Mario Pinza	292919US0PCT	8132	
		08/06/2009 CCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. DAVIS, BRIAN J		EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE ST	REET			BRIAN J	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1621		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/06/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/586,865	PINZA ET AL.			
interview cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian J. Davis	1621			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Brian J. Davis</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Dave Stitzel</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>31 July 2009</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	t)∏ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <i>In general</i> , 1-11.					
Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Mr. Stitzel called to proporejection. The response would consist of 3 main points: cast results; and the 1:1 ratio of the salt (in contrast to a 1:2 ratio reasonable and would help to overcome the rejection. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	nse an outline of a response to the number of a response to the number of a response to the number of the examiner stated that of the examiner agony of the amendments that we have the same of the sa	o the outstanding 103 ber of isomers; unexpeach argument was reed would render the	<u>pected</u> e claims		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON reverse side or on attached sheet.	 CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, '	been filed, APPLICA DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LAT	NT IS		
/Brian J. Davis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621	7/31/09				